

## Today's Topics

- Entropy review
- Entropy and Data Compression
- Uniquely decodable codes
- Prefix Code
- Average Code Length
- Shannon's First Theorem
- Kraft-McMillan Inequality
- Code Efficiency
- Code Extension

Conditional Entropy $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Y} \mid \mathrm{X})$
Is the amount of information contained in $Y$ such that $X$ is given

$$
H(Y \mid X)==\Sigma_{j} P\left(X=v_{j}\right) H\left(Y \mid X=v_{j}\right)
$$

## Joint Entropy

Is the amount of information contained in both events $X$ and $Y$
$H(X, Y)=-\sum_{x, Y} p(x, y) \log p(x, y)$

## Chain Rule

Chain Rule
Relationship between conditional and joint entropy

$$
H(X, Y)=H(X)+H(Y \mid X)
$$

## Entropy, Coding and Data Compression

## Data vs. Information

- "yes," "not," "yes," "yes," "not" "not" ...
- In ASCII, each item is $3.8=24$ bits of data
- But if the only possible answers are "yes" and "not," there is only one bit of
information per item


## Compression = Squeezing out the "Air"

- Suppose you want to ship pillows in boxes and are charged by the size of the box

- To use as few boxes as possible, squeeze out all the air, pack into boxes, fluff them up at the other end
- Lossless data compression = pillows are perfectly restored
- Lossy data compression = some damage to the pillows is OK (MP3 is a lossy compression standard for music)
- Loss may be OK if it is below human perceptual threshold
- Entropy is a measure of limit of lossless compression



## Example: Telegraphy <br> Source English letters -> Morse Code

Sender: from Hokkaido


## Coding Messages with Fixed Length Codes

- Example: 4 symbols, A, B, C, D
- $A=00, B=01, C=10, D=11$
- In general, with $n$ symbols, codes need to be of length $\lg n$, rounded up
- For English text, 26 letters + space $=27$ symbols, length $=5$ since $2^{4}<27<2^{5}$
(replace all punctuation marks by space)


## Uniquely decodable codes

- If any encoded string has only one possible source string producing it then we have unique decodablity
- Example of uniquely decodable code is the prefix code


## Prefix Coding (Instantaneous code)

- A prefix code is defined as a code in which no codeword is the prefix of some other code word.
- A prefix code is uniquely decodable.

| Example |  | Prefix Code |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Source Symbol | Code A | Code ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Code C |
|  | Symbol Codeword | Symbol Codeword | Symbol Codeword |
| $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{s}_{1}$ | 1 | 10 | 01 |
| $\mathrm{s}_{2}$ | 00 | 110 | 011 |
| $\mathrm{s}_{3}$ | 11 | 111 | 0111 |

## Decoding of a Prefix Code

Example

| Code B |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Source <br> Symbol <br> $s_{k}$ | Symbol <br> Codeword <br> $c_{k}$ |
| $\mathrm{~s}_{0}$ | 0 |
| $\mathrm{~s}_{1}$ | 10 |
| $\mathrm{~s}_{2}$ | 110 |
| $\mathrm{~s}_{3}$ | 111 |



- Example : Decode 1011111000
- Answer : $\mathrm{s}_{1} \mathrm{~S}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{0} \mathrm{~S}_{0}$

$$
0000100000000011000000000100
$$

## Prefix Codes

Only one way to decode left to right when message received Example 1

| Symbol | A | B | C | D |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Probability | .7 | .1 | .1 | .1 |
| Code | 0 | 100 | 101 | 110 |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Received message:

$$
A A A A \text { B AAAAAAA D AAAAAAAA B }
$$

$$
16
$$

## Prefix Codes

| Source | Code E |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Symbol <br> $s_{k}$ | Symbol <br> Codeword <br> $c_{k}$ |  |
| A | $\mathbf{0}$ |  |
| B | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |  |
| C | 110 |  |
| D | 11 |  |

- IS CODE E A PREFIX CODE?
- NO
- WHY?
- Code of $D$ is a prefix to code of $C$



## Shannon's First Theorem: The Source Coding Theorem


-The outputs of an information source cannot be represented by a source code whose average length is less than the source entropy

| Average Code Length |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Example |  |  |  |  |
| Average bits per symbol: |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{L}=.7 \cdot 1+.1 \cdot 3+.1 \cdot 3+.1 \cdot 3=1.6 \\ & \text { bits/symbol (down from } 2) \end{aligned}$ | A | B | C | D |
|  | . 7 | . 1 | . 1 | . 1 |
|  | 0 | 100 | 101 | 110 |
| Another prefix code that is better$\mathrm{L}=.7 \cdot 1+.1 \cdot 2+.1 \cdot 3+.1 \cdot 3=1.5$ | A | B | C | D |
|  | . 7 | . 1 | . 1 | . 1 |
|  | 0 | 10 | 110 | 111 |
|  |  |  |  | 21 |



## Entropy, Compressibility, Redundancy

- Lower entropy <=> More redundant <=> More compressible
- Higher entropy <=> Less redundant <=> Less compressible


## Entropy and Compression

- First-order entropy is theoretical minimum on code length when only frequencies are taken into account
- $\mathrm{L}=.7 \cdot 1+.1 \cdot 2+.1 \cdot 3+.1 \cdot 3=1.5$
- First-order Entropy $=1.353$

| A | B | C | $D$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| .7 | .1 | .1 | .1 |
| 0 | 10 | 110 | 111 |

- First-order Entropy of English is about 4 bits/character based on "typical" English texts


## Fewer Bits

Someone tells you that the probabilities are not equal
$P(X=A)=1 / 2 \quad P(X=B)=1 / 4 \quad P(X=C)=1 / 8 \quad P(X=D)=1 / 8$

Is it possible...
...to invent a coding for your transmission that only uses 1.75 bits on average per symbol. How?

## Bits

You are watching a set of independent random samples of $X$
You see that $X$ has four possible values
$P(X=A)=1 / 4 \quad P(X=B)=1 / 4 \quad P(X=C)=1 / 4 \quad P(X=D)=1 / 4$

So you might see output: BAACBADCDADDDA...
You transmit data over a binary serial link. You can encode each reading with two bits (e.g. $A=00, B=01, C=10, D=$ 11)

2 bits on average per symbol
01000010010011101100111111100...

## Fewer Bits

$P(X=A)=1 / 2 \quad P(X=B)=1 / 4 \quad P(X=C)=1 / 8 \quad P(X=D)=1 / 8$
It's possible...
...to invent a coding for your transmission that only uses
1.75 bits on average per symbol.

| $A$ | 0 |
| :--- | :--- |
| B | 10 |
| C | 110 |
| $D$ | 111 |

(This is just one of several ways)

## Fewer Bits

Suppose there are three equally likely values...

```
P(X=A)=1/3 P(X=B) =1/3 P(X=C) =1/3
```

Here's a naïve coding, costing 2 bits per symbol

| A | $\mathbf{0 0}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| B | $\mathbf{0 1}$ |
| C | $\mathbf{1 0}$ |

Can you think of a coding that would need only 1.6 bits per symbol on average?

In theory, it can in fact be done with 1.58496 bits per symbol.

Kraft-McMillan Inequality


- If codeword lengths of a code satisfy the Kraft McMillan's inequality, then a prefix code with these codeword lengths can be constructed.
- For code D
- $2^{-1}+2^{-2}+2^{-3}+2^{-2=9 / 8}$
- $2^{-1}+2^{-2}+2^{-3}+2^{-2=9 / 8}$ This means that Code D IS NOT A PREFIX CODE



## Use of Kraft-McMillan Inequality

- We may use it if the number of symbols are large such that we cannot simply by inspection judge whether a given code is a prefix code or not
- WHAT Kraft-McMillan Inequality Can Do:
- It can determine that a given code IS NOT A PREFIX CODE
- It can identify that a prefix code could be constructed from a set of codeword lengths
- WHAT Kraft-McMillan Inequality Cannot Do:
- It cannot guarantee that a given code is indeed a prefix code
- For code E


## Example

| Source | Code E |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Symbo <br> I <br> $s_{k}$ | Symbol <br> Codewor <br> d | Codeword <br> Length <br> $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{k}}$ |
| $\mathrm{s}_{\mathbf{0}}$ | 0 | 1 |
| $\mathrm{~s}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | 100 | 3 |
| $\mathrm{~s}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 110 | 3 |
| $\mathrm{~s}_{3}$ | 11 | 2 |

- $2^{-1}+2^{-2}+2^{-3}+2^{-3=} 1$ and hence satisfy Kraft-Mcmillan inequality
- IS CODE E A PREFIX CODE?
- NO
- WHY?
- $\mathrm{s}_{3}$ is a prefix to $\mathrm{s}_{2}$

Code Efficiency $\eta$


- An efficient code means $\eta \rightarrow 1$

Examples

| Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Symbol |
| $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{k}}$ | $\mathrm{c}_{\text {Symbol }}^{\text {Probability }}$| $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{k}}$ |
| :---: |$\quad$| Code I |  | Code II |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |

- Source Entropy
- $H(S)=1 / 2 \log _{2}(2)+1 / 4 \log _{2}(4)+1 / 8 \log _{2}(8)+1 / \log _{2}(8)$
$=13 / 4 \mathrm{bits} / \mathrm{symbol}$




## Increasing Efficiency by Source Extension

- By extending the source we may potentially increase efficiency
- The drawback is
- Increased decoding complexity

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{H}\left(S^{n}\right) \leq L_{n}<\mathrm{H}\left(S^{n}\right)+1 \\
& n \mathrm{H}(S) \leq L_{n}<n \mathrm{H}(S)+1 \\
& \mathrm{H}(S) \leq \frac{L_{n}}{n}<\mathrm{H}(S)+\frac{1}{n} \\
& \eta=\frac{\mathrm{H}(S)}{/ n} \\
& \eta \rightarrow 1 \text { when } \\
& \eta \rightarrow 1 \\
& n \rightarrow \infty
\end{aligned}
$$

## Extension of a Discrete Memoryless Source

- Treats Blocks of $n$ successive symbols



## Example

- $\mathrm{S}=\left\{s_{01} S_{1,}, \boldsymbol{S}_{2}\right\}, p_{0}=1 / 4, p_{1}=1 / 4, p_{2}=1 / 2$
- $H(S)=(1 / 4) \log _{2}(4)+(1 / 4) \log _{2}(4)+(1 / 2) \log _{2}(2)$ $H(S)=3 / 2$ bits

Second-Order Extended Source

| Symbols of $S^{2}$ | $\sigma_{0}$ | $\sigma_{1}$ | $\sigma_{2}$ | $\sigma_{3}$ | $\sigma_{4}$ | $\sigma_{5}$ | $\sigma_{6}$ | $\sigma_{7}$ | $\sigma_{8}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sequence of Symbols <br> from $S$ | $s_{0} s_{0}$ | $s_{0} s_{1}$ | $s_{0} s_{2}$ | $s_{1} s_{0}$ | $s_{1} s_{1}$ | $s_{1} s_{2}$ | $s_{2} s_{0}$ | $s_{2} s_{1}$ | $s_{2} s_{2}$ |
| $\mathrm{P}\left\{\sigma_{i\}}, \mathrm{i}=0,1, \ldots, 8\right.$ | $1 / 16$ | $1 / 16$ | $1 / 8$ | $1 / 16$ | $1 / 16$ | $1 / 8$ | $1 / 8$ | $1 / 8$ | $1 / 4$ |

By Computing: $\mathrm{H}\left(\mathcal{S}^{2}\right)=3$ bits

- Efficient representation of information sources
- Source Coding

Requirements

- Uniquely Decodable Codes
- Prefix Codes
- No codeword is a prefix to some other code word


Kraft's Inequality
$\sum_{k=0}^{K-1} 2^{-I_{k}} \leq 1$
Source Coding Theorem

| $\mathrm{H}(S) \leq$ <br> $\mathrm{H}(S)+1$ <br> 39 |
| :--- |

End

